

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,703	10/11/2001	Andrew C. Florance	COS0001-CIP	8169
28970	7590 05/19/2004		EXAM	INER
SHAW PITTMAN			KRAMER, JAMES A	
IP GROUP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 1300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	,	Λ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/973,703	FLORANCE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
No.	James A. Kramer	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVOIDE AMONTH!	e) EBOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
·	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	εx paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11,12 and 16-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the f	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

· Application/Control Number: 09/973,703

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Interview

Applicant references interview held between Examiner Chilcot and Attorney Steven

Arnheim on February 23, 2004. As agreed the amendments to claim 11 distinguishes the present invention over the prior art of record <u>as presented</u> in the Office Action of 12/01/03. Examiner Kramer has thus reconsidered the presentation of the rejection and has restated/re-presented the rejection in this non-final Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 11-12, 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roderick in view of Weichert (both previously presented).

Roderick (as previously taught in the Office Action mailed 12/1/03) teaches a system for improved content management and delivery. Specifically, Roderick teaches upon receiving a request for information a mining agent is invoked which utilizes a industry standard product identifier (ISPID) to retrieve the requested information from accessible resources. Roderick further teaches that the mining agent may access local and/or remote information (column 6; lines 40-50). In addition, the mining agent searches accessible databases for information associated with the industry standard product identifier received in a request for information from a remote client (column 7; lines 25-29).

Roderick gives an example of a preferred embodiment where the accessible database is an MLS (multiple listing service) database of real estate property (e.g. column 7; lines 49-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,703

Art Unit: 3627

Examiner notes that one of ordinary skill realizes that the MLS database houses real estate information from a plurality of different real estate agents and firms.

Roderick also teaches that the system can be used to enable vendors (i.e. real estate agents) to make *their* products all the more accessible to clients (column 8; lines 13-15). Examiner notes that in most of the embodiments, Roderick teaches the use of a specific MLS number for a specific property, however Examiner references column 10; lines 53-59, where Roderick states that those skilled in the art will appreciate that any product criteria listed in database 600 is searchable and that those skilled in the art will appreciate that other seach terms may well be used without deviating from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Examienr further notes that database 600 teaches real estate agent as a product criteria and thus Roderick teaches searching the MLS by listing agent or firm.

Examiner notes that Roderick supports and teaches a user entering the web site of a real estate agent via a designated resource locator (URL). The system would then use a ISPID associated with that real estate agent to query the MLS database and produce a list of properties for that agent. Examiner once again notes that the MLS database is a separate, third party database that holds property information for a plurality of agents.

Roderick does not teach a graphical user interface with two portions a modifiable portion and a linked portion, where data in the modifiable portion originates from the web site of the affiliate and the data from the linked portion is from a real estate database with data from more than one affiliate.

Weichert (as previously discussed in the Office Action mailed 12/1/03) teaches a framed web site with two portions. The portion on the left (modifiable portion) contains data from the

Art Unit: 3627

Weichert website (affiliate website). The portion on the right (modifiable portion) contains data from a database of Weichert properties. Examiner points out the definition of a frame from the Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary Third Edition. Frame: a rectangular section of the page displayed by a web browser that is a separate HTML document from the rest of the page. Web pages can have multiple frames, each of which is a separate document. Associated with each frame are the same capabilities as for an unframed page.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the web page of Roderick by placing the results of the mining agent (i.e. the MLS data associated with the real estate agent) in a framed page as taught by Weichert, in order to maintain control over the advertising information (i.e. company logo, name, address etc.) in the left section (modifiable portion).

Response to Arguments

Applicant asserts that Roderick fails to teach or suggest that the database contains data associated with more than one affiliate, enabling it to support more than one web site. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Roderick gives as a specific example the use of the MLS database. This database maintains information from a plurality of different real estate agents (affiliates) and thus the use of the system of Roderick with the MLS database allows for the support of more than one web site.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A. Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3627

JAK

Floring Chilogates Patent Examination Center